

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 HARMEET DHILLON,

No. C 13-1465 SI

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO TAKE
EARLY DISCOVERY**

13 v.

14 DOE 1, an unknown individual, and DOES 2-10,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff's administrative motions for leave to take early discovery are scheduled for a hearing
18 on November 7, 2013. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court determines that the matters are
19 appropriate for resolution without oral argument, and VACATES the hearing. For the reasons set forth
20 below, plaintiff's motions are DENIED.


21 Plaintiff seeks leave to issue two subpoenas, one directed at an attorney and one directed at
22 Google, Inc., in order to learn the identity of "Doe 1" to facilitate service of process on Doe 1. The
23 complaint alleges that Doe 1 anonymously published an article titled "Meet Harmeet" on the website
24 www.mungergames.net, and that the article featured a copyrighted photograph of plaintiff. Doe 1,
25 appearing through counsel, opposes the administrative motions on numerous grounds, including that
26 they are unnecessary because Doe 1's counsel has informed plaintiff's counsel that she will accept
27 service of process on behalf of Doe 1. Doe 1 also states that he/she has "immediate plans" to file a
28 motion to dismiss and/or a motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's copyright infringement claim.

1 Based upon these representations by Doe 1, the Court DENIES plaintiff's administrative motions
2 as unnecessary. Counsel for Doe 1 is ORDERED to accept service of process on Doe 1; and Doe 1 is
3 directed to promptly file a motion to dismiss and/or motion for summary judgment.

4 This order resolves Docket Nos. 20 and 24.

5
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: November 4, 2013

9 
10 _____
11 SUSAN ILLSTON
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28